arXiv:0903.5467v1 [math-ph] 31 Mar 2009

QUASI *-ALGEBRAS OF MEASURABLE OPERATORS

FABIO BAGARELLO, CAMILLO TRAPANI, AND SALVATORE TRIOLO

Abstract. Non-commutative L^p-spaces are shown to constitute examples of a class of Banach quasi *-algebras called CQ*-algebras. For $p \geq 2$ they are also proved to possess a *sufficient* family of bounded positive sesquilinear forms satisfying certain invariance properties. CQ *-algebras of measurable operators over a finite von Neumann algebra are also constructed and it is proven that any abstract CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ possessing a sufficient family of bounded positive tracial sesquilinear forms can be represented as a CQ*-algebra of this type.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A *quasi *-algebra* is a couple $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{X}$ is a vector space with involution *, $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is a *-algebra and a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ is an $\mathfrak{A}_0$-bimodule whose module operations and involution extend those of $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Quasi *-algebras were introduced by Lassner [1, 2, 12] to provide an appropriate mathematical framework where discussing certain quantum physical systems for which the usual algebraic approach made in terms of C*-algebras revealed to be insufficient. In these applications they usually arise by taking the completion of the C*-algebra of observables in a weaker topology satisfying certain physical requirements. The case where this weaker topology is a norm topology has been considered in a series of previous papers [3]-[7], where CQ*-algebras were introduced: a CQ*-algebra is, indeed, a quasi *-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ where $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Banach space with respect to a norm $\| \ \|$ possessing an isometric involution and $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is a C*-algebra with respect to a norm $\| \cdot \|_0$, which is dense in $\mathfrak{X}[\| \cdot \|]$.

Since any C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has a faithful *-representation π, it is natural to pose the question if this completion also can be realized as a quasi *-algebra of operators affiliated to $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$. The Segal-Nelson theory [10, 11] of non-commutative integration provides a number of mathematical tools for dealing with this problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider non-commutative L^p-spaces constructed starting from a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$ and a normal, semifinite, faithful trace τ as Banach quasi *-algebras. In particular if φ is finite, then it is shown that $(L^p(\varphi), \mathfrak{M})$ is a CQ*-algebra. If $p \geq 2$, they even possess a *sufficient* family of positive sesquilinear forms enjoying certain *invariance* properties.

In Section 3, starting from a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of normal, finite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, we prove that the completion of $\mathfrak{M}$ with respect to a norm defined in natural

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L51, 47L60 .

Key words and phrases. Banach C*-modules, Non commutative integration, Partial algebras of operators.

way by the family $\mathfrak{F}$ is indeed a CQ*-algebra consisting of measurable operators, in Segal's sense, and therefore affiliated with $\mathfrak{M}$.

Finally, in Section 4, we prove that any CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ possessing a sufficient family of bounded positive tracial sesquilinear forms can be continuosly embedded into the CQ*-algebra of measurable operators constructed in Section 3.

In order to keep the paper sufficiently self-contained, we collect below some preliminary definitions and propositions that will be used in what follows.

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ be a quasi *-algebra. The *unit* of $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is an element $e \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ such that $xe = ex = x$, for every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. A quasi *-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is said to be *locally convex* if $\mathfrak{X}$ is endowed with a topology τ which makes of $\mathfrak{X}$ a locally convex space and such that the involution $a \mapsto a^*$ and the multiplications $a \mapsto ab$, $a \mapsto ba$, $b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$, are continuous. If τ is a norm topology and the involution is isometric with respect to the norm, we say that $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is a *normed quasi *-algebra* and, if it is complete, we say it is a *Banach quasi*-algebra.*

Definition 1.1. *Let* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ *be a Banach quasi *-algebra with norm* $\|\cdot\|$ *and involution* *. *Assume that a second norm* $\|\cdot\|_0$ *is defined on* $\mathfrak{A}_0$*, satisfying the following conditions:*

(a.1) $\|a^*a\|_0 = \|a\|_0^2, \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$*;*
(a.2) $\|a\| \leq \|a\|_0, \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$*;*
(a.3) $\|ax\| \leq \|a\|_0 \|x\|, \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0, x \in \mathfrak{X}$*;*
(a.4) $\mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ *is complete.*

Then we say that $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ *is a CQ*-algebra.*

Remark 1.2. (1) If $\mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$ is not complete, we say that $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is a pre CQ*-algebra.
(2) In previous papers the name CQ*-algebra was given to a more complicated structure where two different involutions were considered on $\mathfrak{A}_0$. When these involutions coincide, we spoke of a *proper* CQ *-algebra. In this paper only this case will be considered and so we systematically omit the term *proper*.

The following basic definitions and results on non-commutative measure theory are also needed in what follows.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and φ a normal faithful semifinite trace defined on $\mathfrak{M}_+$.

Put

$$\mathcal{J} = \{X \in \mathfrak{M} : \varphi(|X|) < \infty\}.$$

$\mathcal{J}$ is a *-ideal of $\mathfrak{M}$.

We denote with $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathfrak{M})$, the lattice of projections of $\mathfrak{M}$.

Definition 1.3. *A vector subspace* $\mathcal{D}$ *of* $\mathcal{H}$ *is said to be strongly dense (resp., strongly* φ*-dense) if*

- $U'\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ *for any unitary* U' *in* $\mathfrak{M}'$
- *there exists a sequence* $P_n \in \mathrm{Proj}(\mathfrak{M})$*:* $P_n\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{D}$, $P_n^\perp \downarrow 0$ *and* $(P_n^\perp)$ *is a finite projection (resp.,* $\varphi(P_n^\perp) < \infty$*).*

Clearly, every strongly φ-dense domain is strongly dense.

Throughout this paper, when we say that an operator T is affiliated with a von Neumann algebra, written $T \, \eta \, \mathfrak{M}$, we always mean that T is closed, densely defined and $TU \supseteq UT$ for every unitary operator $U \in \mathfrak{M}'$.

Definition 1.4. *An operator* $T \, \eta \, \mathfrak{M}$ *is called*

- *measurable (with respect to* $\mathfrak{M}$*) if its domain* $D(T)$ *is strongly dense;*
- φ*-measurable if its domain* $D(T)$ *is strongly* φ*-dense.*

¿From the definition itself it follows that, if T is φ-measurable, then there exists $P \in \mathrm{Proj}(\mathfrak{M})$ such that TP is bounded and $\varphi(P^{\perp}) < \infty$.

We remind that any operator affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra is measurable [10, Cor. 4.1] but it is not necessarily φ-measurable.

2. NON-COMMUTATIVE L^p-SPACES AS CQ *-ALGEBRAS

In this Section we will discuss the structure of the non-commutative L^p-spaces as quasi *-algebras. We begin with recalling the basic definitions.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and φ a normal faithful semifinite trace defined on $\mathfrak{M}_+$. For each $p \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{J}_p = \{X \in \mathfrak{M} : \varphi(|X|^p) < \infty\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{J}_p$ is a *-ideal of $\mathfrak{M}$. Following [11], we denote with $L^p(\varphi)$ the Banach space completion of $\mathcal{J}_p$ with respect to the norm

$$\|X\|_p := \varphi(|X|^p)^{1/p}, \quad X \in \mathcal{J}_p.$$

One usually defines $L^\infty(\varphi) = \mathfrak{M}$. Thus, if φ is a finite trace, then $L^\infty(\varphi) \subset L^p(\varphi)$ for every $p \geq 1$. As shown in [11], if $X \in L^p(\varphi)$, then X is a measurable operator.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a von Neumann algebra and* φ *a normal faithful semifinite trace on* $\mathfrak{M}_+$*. Then* $(L^p(\varphi), L^\infty(\varphi) \cap L^p(\varphi))$ *is a Banach quasi *-algebra.*

If φ *is a finite trace and* $\varphi(\mathbb{I}) = 1$*, then* $(L^p(\varphi), L^\infty(\varphi))$ *is a CQ*-algebra.*

Proof. Indeed, it is easily seen that the norms $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ of $L^\infty(\varphi) \cap L^p(\varphi)$ and $\| \cdot \|_p$ on $L^p(\varphi)$ satisfy the conditions (a.1)-(a.2) of Definition 1.1. Moreover, if φ is finite, then $L^\infty(\varphi) \subset L^p(\varphi)$ and thus $(L^p(\varphi), L^\infty(\varphi))$ is a CQ*-algebra. □

Remark 2.2. Of course the condition $\varphi(\mathbb{I}) = 1$ can be easily removed by rescaling the trace.

Definition 2.3. *Let* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ *be a Banach quasi *-algebra. We denote with* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X})$ *the set of all sesquilinear forms* Ω *on* $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ *with the following properties*

(i) $\Omega(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}$
(ii) $\Omega(xa, b) = \Omega(a, x^*b) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}, \ \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$
(iii) $|\Omega(x, y)| \leq \| x \| \| y \| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.

A subfamily $\mathcal{A}$ *of* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X})$ *is called* sufficient *if* $x \in \mathfrak{X}$*,* $\Omega(x, x) = 0$*, for every* $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$*, implies* $x = 0$*.*

If $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is a Banach quasi *-algebra, then the Banach dual space $\mathfrak{X}^\sharp$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ can be made into a Banach $\mathfrak{A}_0$-bimodule with norm

$$\|f\|^\sharp = \sup_{\|x\|\leq 1} |\langle x, f\rangle|, \quad f \in \mathfrak{X}^\sharp,$$

by defining, for $f \in \mathfrak{X}^\sharp$, $a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$, the module operations in the following way:

$$\langle x, f \circ a\rangle := \langle ax, f\rangle, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}$$

$$\langle x, a \circ f\rangle := \langle xa, f\rangle, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

As usual, an involution $f \mapsto f^*$ can be defined on $\mathfrak{X}^\sharp$ by $\langle x, f^*\rangle = \overline{\langle x^*, f\rangle}$, $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. With these notations we can easily prove the following (see, also [8]):

Proposition 2.4. $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ *be a Banach quasi *-algebra and* Ω *a positive sesquilinear form on* $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X})$;
- (ii) *there exists a bounded conjugate linear operator* $T : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}^\sharp$ *with the properties*
  - (ii.1) $\langle x, Tx\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}$;
  - (ii.2) $T(ax) = (Tx) \circ a^*, \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0,\ x \in \mathfrak{X}$;
  - (ii.3) $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}^\sharp)} \leq 1$;
  - (ii.4) $\Omega(x, y) = \langle x, Ty\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.

We will now focus our attention on the question as to whether for the Banach quasi *-algebra $(L^p(\varphi), L^\infty(\varphi) \cap L^p(\varphi))$, the family $\mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$, that we are going to describe, is or is not sufficient.

Before going forth, we remind that many of the familiar results of the ordinary theory of L^p-space hold in the very same form for the non-commutative L^p-spaces. This is the case, for instance, of Hölder's inequality and also of the statement that characterizes the dual of L^p: the form defining the duality is the extension of φ (this extension will be denoted with the same symbol) to products of the type XY with $X \in L^p(\varphi)$, $Y \in L^{p'}(\varphi)$ with $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ and one has $(L^p(\varphi))^\sharp \simeq L^{p'}(\varphi)$.

In order to study $\mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$, we introduce, for $p \geq 2$, the following notation

$$\mathcal{B}^p_+ = \{X \in L^{p/(p-2)}(\varphi),\ X \geq 0\,,\ \parallel X \parallel_{p/(p-2)} \leq 1\}$$

where $p/(p-2) = \infty$ if $p = 2$.

For each $W \in \mathcal{B}^p_+$, we consider the right multiplication operator

$$R_W : L^p(\varphi) \to L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\varphi); \qquad R_W X = XW, \quad X \in L^p(\varphi).$$

Since $L^\infty(\varphi) \cap L^p(\varphi) = \mathcal{J}_p$, we use, for shortness, the latter notation.

Lemma 2.5. *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *Let* $p \geq 2$. *For every* $W \in \mathcal{B}^p_+$, *the sesquilinear form* $\Omega(X, Y) = \varphi[X(R_W Y)^*]$ *is an element of* $\mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$
- (ii) *If* φ *is finite, then for each* $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$, *there exists* $W \in \mathcal{B}^p_+$ *such that*

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \varphi[X(R_W Y)^*], \quad \forall X, Y \in L^p(\varphi).$$

Proof. (i): We check that the sesquilinear $\Omega(X,Y) = \varphi[X(R_W Y)^*]$, $X, Y \in L^p(\varphi)$ satisfies the conditions (i),(ii),(iii) of Definition 2.3.
For every $X \in L^p(\varphi)$ we have

$$\Omega(X,X) = \varphi[X(R_W X)^*] = \varphi[X(XW)^*] = \varphi[(XW)^* X] = \varphi[W|X|^2] \geq 0.$$

For every $X \in L^p(\varphi)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{J}_p$, we get

$$\Omega(XA, B) = \varphi(XA(BW)^*) = \varphi(WB^*XA) = \varphi(A(X^*BW)^*) = \Omega(A, X^*B).$$

Finally, for every $X, Y \in L_p(\varphi)$,

$$|\Omega(X,Y)| \leq \| X \|_p \| Y \|_p \| W \|_{p/p-2} \leq \| X \|_p \| Y \|_p \, .$$

(ii) Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$. Let $T : L^p(\varphi) \to L^{p'}(\varphi)$ be the operator which represents Ω in the sense of Proposition 2.4. The finiteness of φ implies that $\mathcal{J}_p = \mathfrak{M}$; thus we can put $W = T(\mathbb{I})$. It is easy to check that $R_W = T$. This concludes the proof. ☐

Proposition 2.6. *If* $p \geq 2$, $\mathcal{S}(L^p(\varphi))$ *is sufficient.*

Proof. Let $X \in L^p(\varphi)$ be such that $\Omega(X,X) = 0$ for every $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(L_p(\varphi))$. By the previous lemma, since $|X|^{p-2} \in L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}(\varphi)$, the right multiplication operator R_W with $W = \frac{|X|^{p-2}}{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\| \frac{|X|^{p-2}}{\alpha} \|_{p/p-2} \leq 1$, represents a sesquilinear form $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(L_p(\varphi))$. By the assumption, $\Omega(X,X) = 0$. We then have

$$\Omega(X,X) = \varphi[X(R_W X)^*] = \frac{\varphi[X(X|X|^{p-2})^*]}{\alpha} = \frac{\varphi[(X|X|^{p-2})^* X]}{\alpha} = \frac{\varphi[|X|^p]}{\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0,$$

by the faithfulness of φ. ☐

3. CQ*-ALGEBRAS OVER FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\mathfrak{F} = \{\varphi_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a family of normal, *finite* traces on $\mathfrak{M}$. As usual, we say that the family $\mathfrak{F}$ is *sufficient* if for $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, $X \geq 0$ and $\varphi_\alpha(X) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X = 0$ (clearly, if $\mathfrak{F} = \{\varphi\}$, then $\mathfrak{F}$ is sufficient if, and only if, φ is faithful). In this case, $\mathfrak{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra [15, ch.7]. We assume, in addition, that the following condition (P) is satisfied:

$$\text{(P)} \qquad \varphi_\alpha(\mathbb{I}) \leq 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then we define

$$\|X\|_{p,\mathcal{I}} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \|X\|_{p,\varphi_\alpha} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_\alpha(|X|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is sufficient, $\| \cdot \|_{p,\mathcal{I}}$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{M}$.

In the sequel we will need the following Lemmas whose simple proofs will be omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a von Neumann algebra in Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$, $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}}$ *a family of projections of* $\mathfrak{M}$ *with*

$$\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} P_\alpha = \overline{P}.$$

If $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ *and* $AP_\alpha = 0$ *for every* $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, *then* $A\overline{P} = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{F} = \{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ *be a sufficient family of normal, finite traces on the von Neumann algebra* $\mathfrak{M}$ *and let* P_α *be the support of* φ_α*. Then,* $\vee P_\alpha = \mathbb{I}$*, where* $\mathbb{I}$ *denotes the identity of* $\mathfrak{M}$*.*

It is well-known that the support of each φ_α enjoy the following properties

(i) $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$, the center of $\mathfrak{M}$, for each $\alpha \in I$;
(ii) $\varphi_\alpha(X) = \varphi_\alpha(XP_\alpha)$, for each $\alpha \in I$.

¿From the two preceding lemmas it follows that, if the P_α's are as in Lemma 3.2, then

$$AP_\alpha = 0 \quad \forall\alpha \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A = 0.$$

If Condition (P) is fulfilled, then

$$\| X \|_{p,\mathcal{I}} = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \| XP_\alpha \|_{p,\alpha} \qquad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}$$

Clearly, the sufficiency of the family of traces and Condition (P) imply that $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}$ is a norm $\mathfrak{M}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})$ *denote the Banach space completion of* $\mathfrak{M}$ *with respect to the norm* $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}$*. Then* $(\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})[\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}], \mathfrak{M}[\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}])$ *is a CQ*-algebra.*

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$(1) \qquad \| X^* \|_{p,\mathcal{I}} = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \| X^*P_\alpha \|_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \| (XP_\alpha)^* \|_{p,\alpha} = \| X \|_{p,\mathcal{I}}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Furthermore, for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$(2) \qquad \| XY \|_{p,\mathcal{I}} = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \| XYP_\alpha \|_{p,\alpha} \leq \| X \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \| YP_\alpha \|_{p,\alpha} = \| X \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \| Y \|_{p,\mathcal{I}} .$$

Finally, condition (P) implies that

$$\| X \|_{p,\mathcal{I}} \leq \| X \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

From (1) and (2) it follows that $\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})$ is a Banach quasi *-algebra. It is clear that $\| \, \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ satisfies the conditions (a.1)-(a.3) of Section 1. Therefore $(\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I}), \mathfrak{M})$ is a CQ *-algebra. □

The next step consists in investigating the Banach space $\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})[\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}]$. In particular we are interested in the question as to whether $\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})[\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}]$ can be identified with a space of operators affiliated with $\mathfrak{M}$. For shortness, whenever no ambiguity can arise, we write $\mathfrak{M}_p$ instead of $\mathfrak{M}(p,\mathcal{I})$

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a sufficient family of normal, finite traces on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$ satisfying Condition (P). The traces φ_α are not necessarily faithful. Put $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = \mathfrak{M}P_\alpha$, where, as before, P_α denotes the support of φ_α. Each $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ is a von Neumann algebra and φ_α is faithful in $\mathfrak{M}P_\alpha$ [14, Proposition V. 2.10].

More precisely,

$$\mathfrak{M}_\alpha := \mathfrak{M}P_\alpha = \{Z = XP_\alpha, \text{ for some } X \in \mathfrak{M}\}.$$

The positive cone $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha^+$ of $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ equals the set

$$\{Z = XP_\alpha, \text{ for some } X \in \mathfrak{M}^+\}.$$

For $Z = XP_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha^+$, we put:

$$\sigma_\alpha(Z) := \varphi_\alpha(XP_\alpha).$$

The definition of $\sigma_\alpha(Z)$ does not depend on the particular choice of X. Each σ_α is a normal, finite, faithful trace on $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$. It is then possible to consider the spaces $L^p(\mathfrak{M}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$, $p \geq 1$, in the usual way. The norm of $L^p(\mathfrak{M}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$ is indicated as $\|\cdot\|_{p,\alpha}$.

Let now (X_k) be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{M}[\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}]$. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, we put $Z_k^{(\alpha)} = X_k P_\alpha$. Then, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, $(Z_k^{(\alpha)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha[\|\cdot\|_{p,\alpha}]$. Indeed, since $|Z_k^{(\alpha)} - Z_h^{(\alpha)}|^p = |X_k - X_h|^p P_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z_k^{(\alpha)} - Z_h^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\alpha} &= \sigma_\alpha(|Z_k^{(\alpha)} - Z_h^{(\alpha)}|^p)^{1/p} \\ &= \varphi_\alpha(|X_k - X_h|^p P_\alpha)^{1/p} \\ &= \varphi_\alpha(|X_k - X_h|^p)^{1/p} \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, there exists an operator $Z^{(\alpha)} \in L^p(\mathfrak{M}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$ such that:

$$Z^{(\alpha)} = \|\cdot\|_{p,\alpha} - \lim_{k\to\infty} Z_k^{(\alpha)}.$$

It is now natural to ask the question as to whether there exists an operator X closed, densely defined, affiliated with $\mathfrak{M}$ which reduces to $Z^{(\alpha)}$ on $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$. To begin with, we assume that the projections $\{P_\alpha\}$ are mutually orthogonal. In this case, putting $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = P_\alpha \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{(f_\alpha) : \, f_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \, \sum_{\alpha\in I} \|f_\alpha\|^2 < \infty\}.$$

We put

$$D(X) = \{(f_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H} : \, f_\alpha \in D(Z^{(\alpha)}); \, \sum_{\alpha\in I} \|Z^{(\alpha)} f_\alpha\|^2 < \infty\}$$

and for $f = (f_\alpha) \in D(X)$ we define

$$Xf = (Z^{(\alpha)} f_\alpha).$$

Then

(i) $D(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.
Indeed, $D(X)$ contains all $f = (f_\alpha)$ with $f_\alpha = 0$ except that for a finite subset of indeces.

(ii) X is closed in $\mathcal{H}$.
Indeed, let $f_n = (f_{n,\alpha})$ be a sequence of elements of $D(X)$ with $f_n \to g = (g_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Xf_n \to h$. Since

$$f_n \to g \Leftrightarrow f_{n,\alpha} \to g_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \, \forall\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$Xf_n \to h \Leftrightarrow (Xf_n)_\alpha \to h_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \, \forall\alpha \in \mathcal{I},$$

by $(Xf_n)_\alpha = Z^{(\alpha)} f_{n,\alpha}$ and from the closedness of each $Z^{(\alpha)}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, we get

$$g_\alpha \in D(Z^{(\alpha)}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = Z^{(\alpha)} g_\alpha.$$

It remains to check that $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \|Z^{(\alpha)}g_\alpha\|^2 < \infty$ but this is clear, since both $(Z^{(\alpha)}g_\alpha)$ and $h = (h_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$.

(iii) $X \,\eta\, \mathfrak{M}$.
Let $Y \in \mathfrak{M}'$. Then, $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}$, $Yf = (YP_\alpha f)$ and $YP_\alpha \in (\mathfrak{M}P_\alpha)' = \mathfrak{M}'P_\alpha$. Therefore

$$XYf = ((XY)P_\alpha f) = (YXP_\alpha f) = YXf.$$

In conclusion, X is a measurable operator.

Thus, we have proved the following

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a sufficient family of normal, finite traces on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$. Assume that Condition (P) is fulfilled and that the φ_α's have mutually orthogonal supports. Then $\mathfrak{M}_p$, $p \geq 1$, consists of measurable operators.*

The analysis of the general case would really be simplified if, from a given sufficient family $\mathfrak{F}$ of normal finite traces, one could extract (or construct) a *sufficient* subfamily $\mathcal{G}$ of traces with mutually orthogonal supports. Apart from quite simple situations (for instance when $\mathfrak{F}$ is finite or countable), we do not know if this is possible or not. There is however a relevant case where this can be fairly easily done. This occurs when $\mathfrak{F}$ is a convex and w^*-compact family of traces on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a convex w^*-compact family of normal, finite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$; assume that, for each central operator Z, with $0 \leq Z \leq \mathbb{I}$, and each $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ the functional $\eta_Z(X) := \eta(XZ)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of extreme elements of $\mathfrak{F}$. If $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$, $\eta_1 \neq n_2$, and P_1 and P_2 are their respective supports, then P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal.*

Proof. Let P_1, P_2 be, respectively, the supports of η_1 and η_2. We begin with proving that either $P_1 = P_2$ or $P_1P_2 = 0$. Indeed, assume that $P_1P_2 \neq 0$. We define

$$\eta_{1,2}(X) = \eta_1(XP_2) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Were $\eta_{1,2} = 0$, then, in particular $\eta_{1,2}(P_2) = 0$, i.e. $\eta_1(P_2) = 0$ and therefore, by definition of support, $P_2 \leq 1 - P_1$. This implies that $P_1P_2 = 0$, which contradicts the assumption. We now show that the support of $\eta_{1,2}$ is P_1P_2. Let, in fact, Q be a projection such that $\eta_{1,2}(Q) = 0$. Then

$$\eta_1(QP_2) = 0 \Rightarrow QP_2 \leq 1 - P_1 \Rightarrow QP_2(1 - P_1) = QP_2 \Rightarrow QP_2P_1 = 0.$$

Then the largest Q for which this happens is $1 - P_2P_1$. We conclude that the support of the trace $\eta_{1,2}$ is P_1P_2. Finally, by definition, one has $\eta_{1,2}(X) = \eta_1(XP_2)$, and, since $XP_2 \leq X$,

$$\eta_{1,2}(X) = \eta_1(XP_2) \leq \eta_1(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Thus η_1 majorizes $\eta_{1,2}$. But η_1 is extreme in $\mathfrak{F}$. Therefore $\eta_{1,2}$ has the form $\lambda\eta_1$ with $\lambda \in]0, 1]$. This implies that $\eta_{1,2}$ has the same support as η_1; therefore $P_1P_2 = P_1$ i.e. $P_1 \leq P_2$. Starting from $\eta_{2,1}(X) = \eta_2(XP_1)$, we get, in similar way, $P_2 \leq P_1$. Therefore, $P_1P_2 \neq 0$ implies $P_1 = P_2$. However, two different traces of $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ cannot have the same support. Indeed, assume that there exist $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ having the same support P. Since P is central, we can consider the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}P$. The restrictions of η_1, η_2

to $\mathfrak{M}P$ are normal faithful semifinite traces. By [14, Prop. V.2.31] there exist a central element Z in $\mathfrak{M}P$ with $0 \leq Z \leq P$ (P is here considered as the unit of $\mathfrak{M}P$) such that

$$\eta_1(X) = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)(ZX) \quad \forall X \in (\mathfrak{M}P)_+. \tag{3}$$

Then Z also belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{M}$, since for every $V \in \mathfrak{M}$

$$ZV = Z(VP + VP^\perp) = ZVP = VZP = VZ.$$

Therefore the functionals

$$\eta_{1,Z}(X) := \eta_1(XZ) \qquad \eta_{2,Z}(X) := \eta_2(XZ) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}$$

belong to the family $\mathfrak{F}$ and are majorized, respectively, by the extreme elements η_1, η_2. Then, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in [0,1]$ such that

$$\eta_1(XZ) = \lambda\eta_1(X) \qquad \eta_2(XZ) = \mu\eta_1(X), \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

If $\lambda = 1$ we would have, from (3), $\eta_2(ZX) = 0$, for every $X \in (\mathfrak{M}P)_+$; in particular, $\eta_2(\mid Z \mid^2) = 0$; this implies that $Z = 0$. Thus $\lambda \neq 1$. Analogously, $\mu \neq 0$; indeed, if $\mu = 0$, then $\eta_1(X) = \lambda\eta_1(X)$ and thus $\lambda = 1$. Therefore there exist $\lambda, \mu \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\eta_1(X) = \lambda\eta_1(X) + \mu\eta_2(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}P,$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\eta_1(X) = \lambda\eta_1(X) + \mu\eta_2(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}$$

Hence,

$$(1-\lambda)\eta_1(X) = \mu\eta_2(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

From the last equality, dividing by $\max\{1-\lambda, \mu\}$ one gets that one of the two elements is a convex combination of the other and of 0; which is absurd. In conclusion, different supports of extreme traces of $\mathfrak{F}$ are orthogonal.

□

Since, for every $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\|X\|_{p,\mathcal{I}}$ remains the same if computed either with respect to $\mathfrak{F}$ or to $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$, we can deduce the following

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a convex and w^*-compact sufficient family of normal, finite traces on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies Condition (P) and that for each central operator Z, with $0 \leq Z \leq \mathbb{I}$, and each $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ the functional $\eta_Z(X) := \eta(XZ)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}$. Then the completion $\mathfrak{M}_p[\| \cdot \|_{p,\mathcal{I}}]$, consists of measurable operators.*

Families of traces satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 will be constructed in the next section.

4. A REPRESENTATION THEOREM

Once we have constructed in the previous section some CQ*-algebras of operators affiliated to a given von Neumann algebra, it is natural to pose the question under which conditions can an abstract CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ be realized as a CQ*-algebra of this type.

Let $(\mathfrak{X}[\|\cdot\|], \mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0])$ be a CQ*-algebra with unit e and let

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{\Omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{X}) : \, \Omega(x,x) = \Omega(x^*,x^*), \; \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}\}.$$

We remark that if $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ then, by polarization, $\Omega(y^*,x^*) = \Omega(x,y), \quad \forall x,y \in \mathfrak{X}$. It is easy to prove that the set $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ is convex.

For each $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$, we define a linear functional ω_Ω on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ by

$$\omega_\Omega(a) := \Omega(a,e) \qquad a \in \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

We have

$$\omega_\Omega(a^*a) = \Omega(a^*a,e) = \Omega(a,a) = \Omega(a^*,a^*) = \omega_\Omega(aa^*) \geq 0.$$

This shows at once that ω_Ω is positive and tracial.
We put

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \{\omega_\Omega; \, \Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})\}.$$

¿From the convexity of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ it follows easily that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ is convex too. If we denote with $\| f \|^\sharp$ the norm of the bounded functional f on $\mathfrak{A}_0$, we also get

$$\| \omega_\Omega \|^\sharp = \omega_\Omega(e) = \Omega(e,e) \leq \| e \|^2 .$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0) \subseteq \{\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp : \| \omega \|^\sharp \leq \| e \|^2\},$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp$ denotes the topological dual of $\mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0]$.
Setting

$$f_\Omega(a) := \tfrac{\omega_\Omega(a)}{\|e\|^2}$$

we get

$$f_\Omega \in \{\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp : \| \omega \|^\sharp \leq 1\}.$$

By the Banach - Alaglou theorem, the set $\{\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp : \| \omega \|^\sharp \leq 1\}$ is a w^*- compact subset of $\mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp$. Then, the set $\{\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp : \| \omega \|^\sharp \leq \| e \|^2\}$ is also w^*-compact.

Proposition 4.1. $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ *is* w^**-closed and, therefore,* w^**-compact.*

Proof. Let (ω_{Ω_α}) be a net in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ w^*- converging to a functional $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0^\sharp$. We will show that $\omega = \omega_\Omega$ for some $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let us begin with defining $\Omega_0(a,b) = \omega(b^*a)$, $a,b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$. By the definition itself, $(\omega_{\Omega_\alpha})(a) \longrightarrow \omega(a) = \Omega_0(a,e)$. Moreover, for every $a,b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$,

$$\Omega_0(a,b) = \omega(b^*a) = \lim_\alpha \omega_{\Omega_\alpha}(b^*a) = \lim_\alpha \Omega_\alpha(a,b).$$

Therefore

$$\Omega_0(a,a) = \lim_\alpha \Omega_\alpha(a,a) \geq 0.$$

We also have

$$| \Omega_0(a,b) | = \lim_\alpha | \Omega_\alpha(a,b) | \leq \| a \| \, \| b \| .$$

Hence Ω_0 can be extended by continuity to $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$. Indeed, let

$$x = \| \cdot \| - \lim_n a_n \quad y = \| \cdot \| - \lim_n b_n \quad (a_n), (b_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_0$$

then

$$| \, \Omega_0(a_n, b_n) - \Omega_0(a_m, b_m) \, | = | \, \Omega_0(a_n, b_n) - \Omega_0(a_m, b_n) + \Omega_0(a_m, b_n) - \Omega_0(a_m, b_m) \, | \leq$$

$$\leq | \, \Omega_0(a_n - a_m, b_n) \, | + | \, \Omega_0(a_m, b_n - b_m) \, | \leq \| \, a_n - a_m \, \| \| \, b_n \, \| \; + \; \| \, a_m \, \| \| \, b_n - b_m \, \| \to 0,$$

since $(\| \, a_n \, \|)$ ed $(\| \, b_n \, \|)$ are bounded sequences. Therefore we can define

$$\Omega(x, y) = \lim_n \Omega_0(a_n, b_n).$$

Clearly, $\Omega(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}$.
It is easily checked that $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$. This concludes the proof. □

Since $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ is convex and w^*-compact, by the Krein-Milmann theorem it follows that it has extreme points and it coincides with the w^*-closure of the convex hull of the set $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ of its extreme points.

By the Gelfand - Naimark theorem each C^*-algebra is isometrically *-isomorphic to a C^*-algebra of bounded operators in Hilbert space. This isometric *-isomorphism is called the *universal *-representation.*

Thus, let π be the universal *-representation of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.

For every $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}_0$, we put

$$\varphi_\Omega(\pi(a)) = \omega_\Omega(a).$$

Then, for each $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$, φ_Ω is a positive bounded linear functional on the operator algebra $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.

Clearly,

$$\varphi_\Omega(\pi(a)) = \omega_\Omega(a) = \Omega(a, e)$$

$$| \, \varphi_\Omega(\pi(a)) \, | = | \, \omega_\Omega(a) \, | = | \, \Omega(a, e) \, | \leq \|a\| \|e\| \leq \|a\|_0 \|e\|^2 = \|\pi(a)\| \, \|e\|^2.$$

Thus φ_Ω is continuous on $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.

By [16, Theorem 10.1.2], φ_Ω is weakly continuous and so it extends uniquely to $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$. Moreover, since φ_Ω is a trace on $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, the extension $\widetilde{\varphi_\Omega}$ is a trace on $\mathfrak{M} := \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$ too.

The norm $\| \, \widetilde{\varphi_\Omega} \, \|^\sharp$ of $\widetilde{\varphi_\Omega}$ as a linear functional on $\mathfrak{M}$ equals the norm of φ_Ω as a functional on $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.

We have:

$$\| \, \widetilde{\varphi_\Omega} \, \|^\sharp = \widetilde{\varphi}_\Omega(\pi(e)) = \varphi_\Omega(\pi(e)) = \omega_\Omega(e) \leq \|e\|^2.$$

The set

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \{\widetilde{\varphi_\Omega}; \, \Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})\}$$

is convex and w^*-compact in $\mathfrak{M}^\sharp$, as can be easily seen by considering the map

$$\omega_\Omega \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0) \to \widetilde{\varphi_\Omega} \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$$

which is linear and injective and taking into account the fact that, if $a_\alpha \to a$ in $\mathfrak{A}_0[\| \cdot \|]$, then $\widetilde{\varphi_\Omega}(\pi(a_\alpha) - \pi(a)) = \omega_\Omega(a_\alpha - a) \to 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ be the set of extreme points of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$; then $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ coincides with w^*-closure of the convex hull of $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. The extreme elements of $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ are easily characterized by the following

Proposition 4.2. *$\widetilde{\varphi_\Omega}$ is extreme in $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ if, and only if, ω_Ω is extreme in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$.*

Definition 4.3. *A Banach quasi *-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}[\|\cdot\|], \mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0])$ is said to be strongly regular if $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ is sufficient and*

$$\|x\| = \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} \Omega(x,x)^{1/2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Example 4.4. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra possessing a sufficient family $\mathfrak{F}$ of normal finite traces, then the CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{M})$ constructed in Section 3 is strongly regular. This follows from the definition itself of the norm in the completion.

Example 4.5. If φ is a normal faithful finite trace on $\mathfrak{M}$, then $\mathcal{T}(L^p(\varphi))$, for $p \geq 2$, is sufficient. To see this, we start with defining Ω_0 on $\mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{M}$ by

$$\Omega_0(X,Y) = \varphi(Y^*X), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Then

$$|\Omega_0(X,Y)| = |\varphi(Y^*X)| \leq \|X\|_p \|Y\|_{p'}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Since $p \geq 2$, then $L^p(\varphi)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{p'}(\varphi)$. Thus, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\|Y\|_{p'} \leq \gamma \|Y\|_p$ for every $Y \in \mathfrak{M}$. Let us define

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\Omega_0(X,Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Then

$$|\widetilde{\Omega}(X,Y)| \leq \|X\|_p \|Y\|_p, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\Omega}$ has a unique extension, denoted with the same symbol, to $L^p(\varphi) \times L^p(\varphi)$. It is easily seen that $\widetilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{T}(L^p(\varphi))$.

Were, for some $X \in L^p(\varphi)$, $\Omega(X,X) = 0$, for every $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(L^p(\varphi))$, we would then have $\widetilde{\Omega}(X,X) = \|X\|_2^2 = 0$. This, clearly, implies $X = 0$. The equality $\widetilde{\Omega}(X,X) = \|X\|_2^2$ also shows that $L^2(\varphi)$ is strongly regular.

Let now $(\mathfrak{X}[\|\cdot\|], \mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0])$ be a CQ*-algebra with unit e and sufficient $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{A}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the universal representation of $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Assume that the C^*algebra $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0) := \mathfrak{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra. In this case, $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ is a family of traces satisfying Condition (P). Therefore, by Proposition 3.3, we can construct for $p \geq 1$, the CQ*-algebras $(\mathfrak{M}_p[\|\cdot\|_{p,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)}], \mathfrak{M}[\|\cdot\|])$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{A}_0$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{M}$. It is then natural to pose the question if also $\mathfrak{X}$ can be identified with some $\mathfrak{M}_p$. The next Theorem provides the answer to this question.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}[\|\cdot\|], \mathfrak{A}_0[\|\cdot\|_0])$ be a CQ*-algebra with unit e and and sufficient $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$.*

Then there exist a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$ and a monomorphism

$$\Phi : x \in \mathfrak{X} \to \Phi(x) := \widetilde{X} \in \mathfrak{M}_2$$

with the following properties:

(i) Φ *extends the universal *-representation* π *of* $\mathfrak{A}_0$*;*
(ii) $\Phi(x^*) = \Phi(x)^*, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}$*;*
(iii) $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ *for every* $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ *such that* $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ *or* $y \in \mathfrak{A}_0$*.*
Then $\mathfrak{X}$ *can be identified with a space of operators affiliated with* $\mathfrak{M}$*.*

If, in addition, $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ *is strongly regular, then*
(iv) Φ *is an isometry of* $\mathfrak{X}$ *into* $\mathfrak{M}_2$*;*
(v) If $\mathfrak{A}_0$ *is a W*-algebra, then* Φ *is an isometric *-isomorphism of* $\mathfrak{X}$ *onto* $\mathfrak{M}_2$*.*

Proof. Let π be the universal representation of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and assume first that $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0) =: \mathfrak{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra. By Proposition 4.1, the family of traces $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ is convex and w^*-compact. Moreover, for each central positive element Z with $0 \leq Z \leq \mathbb{I}$ and for $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, the trace $\varphi_Z(X) := \varphi(ZX)$ yet belongs to $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$. Indeed, starting from the form $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ which generates φ, one can define the sesquilinear form

$$\Omega_Z(x, y) := \Omega(x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), y\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

We check that $\Omega_Z \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$.

(i) $\Omega_Z(x, x) = \Omega(x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{X}$

(ii) We have, for every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and for every $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_Z(xa, b) &= \Omega(xa\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), b\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \\ &= \Omega(a\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), x^*b\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \\ &= \Omega_Z(a, x^*b). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have, for every $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\ \Omega_Z(x, y)\ | &= |\ \Omega(x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), y\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}))| \\ &\leq \|\ x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})\ \|\ \|\ \pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})y\ \| \\ &\leq \|\ x\ \|\|\ \pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})\ \|_0\ \|\ y\ \|\|\ \pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})\ \|_0 \\ &\leq \|\ x\ \|\ \|\ y\ \|. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_Z(x^*, x^*) &= \Omega(x^*\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), x^*\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \\ &= \Omega(x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), x\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) = \Omega_Z(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Ω_Z defines, for every $A = \pi(a) \in \mathfrak{M} = \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, the following trace

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\Omega_Z}(A) &= \Omega_Z(a, e) = \Omega(a\pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2}), \pi^{-1}(Z^{1/2})) \\ &= \Omega(a\pi^{-1}(Z), e) = \Omega(\pi^{-1}(AZ), e) = \varphi_\Omega(AZ) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the family of traces $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ $(= \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0))$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.5; therefore, if $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, denoting with P_1 and P_2 their respective supports, one has $P_1P_2 = 0$.

By the sufficiency of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$ we get

$$\|X\|_{2,\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} := \sup_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} \|X\|_{2,\varphi} = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} \|X\|_{2,\varphi} \quad \forall X \in \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0).$$

By Proposition 3.3, the Banach space $\mathfrak{M}_2$, completion of $\mathfrak{M}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,\,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)}$, is a CQ*-algebra. Moreover, since the supports of the extreme traces satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, the CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{M}_2[\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)}], \mathfrak{M}[\|\cdot\|])$, consists of operators affiliated with $\mathfrak{M}$. We now define the map Φ.

For every element $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of elements of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ converging to x with respect to the norm of $\mathfrak{X}(\|\cdot\|)$. Put $X_n = \pi(a_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_n - X_m\|_{2,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} &:= \sup_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} \|\pi(a_n) - \pi(a_m)\|_{2,\varphi} \\ &= \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} [\Omega((a_n - a_m)^*(a_n - a_m), e)]^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} [\Omega(a_n - a_m, a_n - a_m)]^{1/2} \leq \|a_n - a_m\| \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)}$-limit of the sequence (X_n) in $\mathfrak{M}_2$. We define $\Phi(x) := \widetilde{X}$.

For each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, we put

$$p_{\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})}(x) = \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} \Omega(x, x)^{1/2}.$$

Then, owed to the sufficiency of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$, $p_{\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})}$ is a norm on $\mathfrak{X}$ weaker than $\|\cdot\|$. This implies that

$$\|\widetilde{X}\|^2_{2,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} \Omega(a_n, a_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p_{\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})}(a_n)^2 = p_{\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})}(x)^2.$$

¿From this equality it follows easily that the linear map Φ is well defined and injective. The condition (iii) can be easily proved. If $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is strongly regular, then, for every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, $p_{\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})}(x) = \|x\|$. Thus Φ is isometric. Moreover, in this case, Φ is surjective; indeed, if $T \in \mathfrak{M}_2$, then there exists a sequence T_n of bounded operators of $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ which converges to T with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)}$. The corresponding sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$, $T_n = \Phi(t_n)$, converges to t with respect to the norm of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\Phi(t) = T$ by definition. Therefore Φ is an isometric *-isomorphism.

To complete the proof, it is enough to prove that the given CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ can be embedded in a CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}_0)$ where $\mathfrak{B}_0$ is a W*-algebra. Of course, we may directly work with $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ with π the universal representation of $\mathfrak{A}_0$. The family of traces $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$ defined on $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$ is not necessarily sufficient. Let P_Ω, $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})$, denote the support of $\widetilde{\varphi}_\Omega$ and let

$$P = \bigvee_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} P_\Omega.$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}_0 := \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''P$ is a von Neumann algebra, that we can complete with respect to the norm

$$\|X\|_{2,\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} = \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{X})} \widetilde{\varphi}_\Omega(X^*X), \quad X \in \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''P.$$

We obtain in this way a CQ*-algebra $(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}_0)$ with $\mathfrak{B}_0$ a W*-algebra. The faithfullness of π on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ implies that

$$\pi(a)P = \pi(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

It remains to prove that $\mathfrak{X}$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathfrak{K}$. But this can be shown in the very same way as we did in the first part: for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ there exists a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$ such that $\|x - a_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We now put $X_n = \pi(a_n)$. Then, proceeding as before, we determine the element $\hat{X} \in \mathfrak{K}$, where

$$\hat{X} = \| \cdot \|_{2,\mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{A}_0)} - \lim \pi(a_n)P.$$

It is easy to see that the map $x \in \mathfrak{X} \to \hat{X} \in \mathfrak{K}$ is injective. If $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$ is regular, but $\pi(\mathfrak{A}_0) \subset \pi(\mathfrak{A}_0)''$, then Φ is an isometry of $\mathfrak{X}$ into $\mathfrak{M}_2$, but needs not be surjective. □

Acknowledgment We acknowledge financial support of MIUR through national and local grants.

References

1. G. Lassner, Topological algebras and their applications in Quantum Statistics, Wiss. Z. KMU-Leipzig, Math.-Naturwiss. R. **30** (1981), 572–595.
2. G. Lassner, Algebras of unbounded operators and quantum dynamics, Physica **A 124** (1984), 471–480
3. F.Bagarello, C.Trapani,*States and representations of CQ* -algebras*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **61**, 103-133(1994)
4. F.Bagarello and C.Trapani, *L^p-spaces as quasi *-algebras*, J.Math. Anal. Appl . **197**, 810-824 (1996)
5. F.Bagarello and C.Trapani, *CQ*-algebras: structure properties* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **32**, 85-116 (1996)
6. F.Bagarello and C.Trapani, *Morphisms of certain Banach C*-modules*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **36** (2000) 681-705
7. F.Bagarello, A.Inoue and C.Trapani, *Some classes of topological quasi *-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **129** (2001), 2973-2980.
8. C.Trapani and S.Triolo, *Representations of certain Banach C*-modules*, Mediterr. j. math. **1** (2004),441–461
9. J.-P. Antoine, A.Inoue, C.Trapani, *Partial *-algebras and their Operator Realizations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002
10. I. E. Segal, A noncommutative extension of abstract integration, *Ann. Math.* **57** (1953), 401–457.
11. E. Nelson, *Note on non-commujtative integration*, J. Funct. Anal., **15** (1974) 103-116
12. K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
13. I.M.Gelfand and N.Ya.Vilenkin, *Generalized functions Vol.4*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1964
14. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras.I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
15. S. Strătilă and L. Zsidó, *Lectures on von Neumann Algebras*, Editura Academiei, Bucharest and Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, 1979.
16. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol.II*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.

Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Palermo, I-90128 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `bagarell@unipa.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, I-90123 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `trapani@unipa.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, I-90123 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `salvo@math.unipa.it`